

A New Algorithm for Mean Payoff Games

Yury Lifshits¹ and Dmitri Pavlov²

¹Steklov Institute of Mathematics at St.Petersburg,
yura@logic.pdmi.ras.ru

²St.Petersburg Institute of Fine Mechanics and Optics,
pavlov@rain.ifmo.ru

EPIT 2006

Outline of the Talk

- 1 Rules of Mean Payoff Games
- 2 Computing Winning Strategies in Mean Payoff Games
- 3 Conclusions

Outline of the Talk

- 1 Rules of Mean Payoff Games
- 2 Computing Winning Strategies in Mean Payoff Games
- 3 Conclusions

1.1. Rules of mean payoff games

Input for a **mean payoff game**:

- Weighted directed graph (integer weights)
- Graph does not contain simple cycles with zero sum
- Vertices are divided into disjoint sets A and B
- The starting vertex

1.1. Rules of mean payoff games

Rules for mean payoff games:

- Two players: Alice and Bob
- Players move the token over arcs
- Game starts from the starting vertex and it is infinite
- Alice plays from vertices of A , Bob from these of B
- Alice wins if the sum of already passed arcs goes to $+infty$
- Bob wins if the sum of already passed arcs goes to $-infty$

1.1. Rules of mean payoff games

Rules for mean payoff games:

- Two players: Alice and Bob
- Players move the token over arcs
- Game starts from the starting vertex and it is infinite
- Alice plays from vertices of A , Bob from these of B
- Alice wins if the sum of already passed arcs goes to $+\infty$
- Bob wins if the sum of already passed arcs goes to $-\infty$

Computational task: given a game graph with an A, B decomposition and a starting vertex to determine the winner (and find the winning strategy)

1.2. MPG is Very Challenging

Mean Payoff Game Problem belongs to $NP \cap co-NP$

Mean Payoff Games have applications in μ -calculus verification

Known algorithms:

- Naive algorithm, n^n in the worst case
- Strategy improvement by Jurdziński, n^n in the worst case
- Linear programming based algorithm by Björklund, Sandberg and Vorobyov, $2^{\sqrt{n}}$ expected time, n^n in the worst case

1.2. MPG is Very Challenging

Mean Payoff Game Problem belongs to $NP \cap co-NP$

Mean Payoff Games have applications in μ -calculus verification

Known algorithms:

- Naive algorithm, n^n in the worst case
- Strategy improvement by Jurdziński, n^n in the worst case
- Linear programming based algorithm by Björklund, Sandberg and Vorobyov, $2^{\sqrt{n}}$ expected time, n^n in the worst case

Our result: $O^*(2^n)$ deterministic algorithm

Outline of the Talk

- 1 Rules of Mean Payoff Games
- 2 Computing Winning Strategies in Mean Payoff Games
- 3 Conclusions

2.0. Our Small Plan

- 1 Define potentials
- 2 Prove their properties
- 3 Compute potentials
- 4 Derive winners and strategies from potentials

2.1. Definition of Potentials

“Money explanation”: Let’s assume that game started from vertex u with $X\$$, every positive arc increase the account, every negative decrease.

2.1. Definition of Potentials

“Money explanation”: Let's assume that game started from vertex u with X \$, every positive arc increase the account, every negative decrease.

The **Alice's potential of u** is the minimal X such that Alice can enforce nonnegative balance through all the game

2.1. Definition of Potentials

“Money explanation”: Let's assume that game started from vertex u with X €, every positive arc increase the account, every negative decrease.

The **Alice's potential of u** is the minimal X such that Alice can enforce nonnegative balance through all the game

The **Bob's potential of u** is the minimal $-X$ such that Bob can enforce nonpositive balance through all the game

2.2. Properties of Potentials

The vertex is a **endpoint**, if the only outgoing arc is the self-loop

Introduce an endpoint means take some vertex and replace all outgoing edges by either $+1$ or -1 self-loop

2.2. Properties of Potentials

The vertex is a **endpoint**, if the only outgoing arc is the self-loop

Introduce an endpoint means take some vertex and replace all outgoing edges by either $+1$ or -1 self-loop

- 1 Every game graph with an endpoint has a **non-significant** vertex
- 2 For every graph we can introduce an endpoint without changing potentials
- 3 We can check “are these numbers true potentials?” in polynomial time

2.3. Computing Potentials

We are going to compute potentials for

- Initial game graph G
- All subgraphs of G
- All subgraphs with one introduced endpoint

Totally for about $(2n + 1)2^n$ graphs!

Method: dynamic programming from smaller graphs to bigger ones

2.3. Computing Potentials cont.

One step of dynamic programming:

- For graphs **with endpoint**:
 - Through one vertex away
 - Take the rest potentials from already computed subgraph
 - Put the deleted vertex back and check for current graph
 - Must work by [property 1](#)
- For graph **without endpoint**:
 - Just check potentials for all versions with introduced endpoint
 - Must work by [property 2](#)

2.4. Getting Strategies from Potentials

Lemma 1: Exactly one potential is finite for every vertex. Alice wins iff Alice's potential is finite on the starting vertex

2.4. Getting Strategies from Potentials

Lemma 1: Exactly one potential is finite for every vertex. Alice wins iff Alice's potential is finite on the starting vertex

Lemma 2: Strategy that minimize the “weight of the edge - difference of potentials” is the winning one.

Outline of the Talk

- 1 Rules of Mean Payoff Games
- 2 Computing Winning Strategies in Mean Payoff Games
- 3 Conclusions

Summary

Main points:

- Computational problem of Mean Payoff Games/ given a game graph and a starting vertex do determine the winner

Summary

Main points:

- Computational problem of Mean Payoff Games/ given a game graph and a starting vertex do determine the winner
- Idea of new algorithm: compute potentials via dynamic programming over all subgraphs

Summary

Main points:

- Computational problem of Mean Payoff Games/ given a game graph and a starting vertex do determine the winner
- Idea of new algorithm: compute potentials via dynamic programming over all subgraphs
- Main trick: existence of a non-significant vertex

Summary

Main points:

- Computational problem of Mean Payoff Games/ given a game graph and a starting vertex do determine the winner
- Idea of new algorithm: compute potentials via dynamic programming over all subgraphs
- Main trick: existence of a non-significant vertex

Open Problem:

- Solve MPG in polynomial time!!!

Last Slide

Yury Lifshits

yura@logic.pdmi.ras.ru

<http://logic.pdmi.ras.ru/~yura/>



Yury Lifshits, Dmitri Pavlov

Fast Exponential Deterministic Algorithm for Mean Payoff Games.

Submitted, 2006.

Last Slide

Yury Lifshits

yura@logic.pdmi.ras.ru

<http://logic.pdmi.ras.ru/~yura/>



Yury Lifshits, Dmitri Pavlov

Fast Exponential Deterministic Algorithm for Mean Payoff Games.

Submitted, 2006.

Thanks for attention.

Last Slide

Yury Lifshits

yura@logic.pdmi.ras.ru

<http://logic.pdmi.ras.ru/~yura/>



Yury Lifshits, Dmitri Pavlov

Fast Exponential Deterministic Algorithm for Mean Payoff Games.

Submitted, 2006.

Thanks for attention. **Questions?**